When looking at a sentence, paragraph or entire document, it is often of interest to gauge the overall sentiment of the writer/speaker. Is it happy or sad? joyful, fearful or anxious? Sentiment analysis aims to accomplish this goal by





assigning numerical scores to the sentiment of a set of words.

Sentiment analysis can easily be done in R using the tidytext package. Consider the following three statements:

This puts the text in the data frame into tidy format:

tidy.sentences

```
## # A tibble: 57 x 2
##
      sentence word
##
         <int> <chr>
##
   1
             1 i
##
   2
             1 just
##
    3
             1 love
   4
             1 this
##
##
   5
             1 burger
##
    6
             1 it
##
   7
             1 is
##
    8
             1 amazing
##
    9
             1 america
## 10
             1 has
## # ... with 47 more rows
```

Ok - now we need to add the sentiment of each word. Note that not all words have a natural sentiment. For example, what is the sentiment of "was" or "burger"? So not all words will receive a sentiment score. The tidytext package has three sentiment lexicons built in : "afinn", "bing" and "nrc". The "afinn" lexicon containts negative and positive words on a scale from -5 to 5. The "bing" lexicon contains words simply coded as negative or positive sentiment. Finally, the "nrc" lexicon contains words representing the following wider range of sentiments: anger, anticipation, disgust, fear, joy, negative, positive, sadness, surprise and trust.

We can perform sentiment analysis simply by performing an inner join operation:

```
tidy.sentences %>%
    inner_join(get_sentiments("bing"),by="word")
```

##	#	A til	bble:	9 x 3	
##		sente	ence	word	sentiment
##		<:	int>	<chr></chr>	<chr></chr>
##	1		1	love	positive
##	2		1	amazing	positive
##	3		1	best	positive
##	4		1	love	positive
##	5		1	great	positive
##	6		1	loser	negative
##	7		2	terrible	negative
##	8		2	bad	negative
##	9		2	like	positive

Only words contained in the bing lexicon shows up. We see that the first sentence contained 5 positive words, while the second contained 2 negative and 1 positive. Notice that the word "love" occurs twice in the first sentence so it makes sense to weigh it higher than "amazing" that only occurs once. We can do this by first doing a term count before joining the sentiments:

```
tidy.sentences %>%
  count(sentence,word) %>%
  inner_join(get_sentiments("bing"),by="word")
```

```
## # A tibble: 8 x 4
##
    sentence word
                         n sentiment
##
       <int> <chr> <int> <chr>
           1 amazing
## 1
                          1 positive
## 2
           1 best
                          1 positive
## 3
           1 great
                          1 positive
           1 loser
## 4
                          1 negative
## 5
           1 love
                          2 positive
## 6
           2 bad
                          1 negative
## 7
           2 like
                          1 positive
## 8
           2 terrible
                          1 negative
```

Notice that the third sentence disappeared as it consisted only of neutral words. We can now tally the total sentiment for each sentence:

```
tidy.sentences %>%
  count(sentence,word) %>%
  inner_join(get_sentiments("bing"),by="word") %>%
  group_by(sentence,sentiment) %>%
  summarize(total=sum(n))
```

`summarise()` regrouping output by 'sentence' (override with `.groups` argument)

```
## # A tibble: 4 x 3
## # Groups: sentence [2]
##
    sentence sentiment total
##
       <int> <chr>
                     <int>
## 1
          1 negative
                        1
## 2
           1 positive
                          5
## 3
          2 negative
                          2
## 4
           2 positive
                          1
```

Finally, we can calculate a net-sentiment by subtracting positive and negative sentiment:

```
tidy.sentences %>%
  count(sentence,word) %>%
  inner_join(get_sentiments("bing"),by="word") %>%
  group_by(sentence,sentiment) %>%
  summarize(total=sum(n)) %>%
  spread(sentiment,total) %>%
  mutate(net.positve=positive-negative)
```

`summarise()` regrouping output by 'sentence' (override with `.groups` argument)

#	A tibble:	2 x 4		
#	Groups:	sentence	[2]	
	sentence	negative	positive	net.positve
	<int></int>	<int></int>	<int></int>	<int></int>
1	1	1	5	4
2	2	2	1	-1
	# # 1 2	<pre># A tibble: # Groups: sentence <int> 1 1 2 2</int></pre>	<pre># A tibble: 2 x 4 # Groups: sentence sentence negative <int> <int> 1 1 1 2 2 2 2</int></int></pre>	<pre># A tibble: 2 x 4 # Groups: sentence [2] sentence negative positive</pre>

Case Study: Clinton vs. Trump Convention Acceptance Speeches

To illustrate the ease with which one can do sentiment analysis in R, let's look at all dimensions of sentiment in the democrat and republican convention acceptance speeches by Hillary Clinton and Donald Trump. The convention_speeches.rds file contains the transcript of each speech.

```
speech <- read_rds('data/convention_speeches.rds')
tidy.speech <- speech %>%
  unnest_tokens(word,text)
total.terms.speaker <- tidy.speech %>%
  count(speaker)
```

The total number of terms in each speech is

total.terms.speaker

```
## # A tibble: 2 x 2
## speaker n
## <chr> <int>
## 1 clinton 5412
## 2 trump 5161
```

Let's break down the total number of terms into the different sentiments and plot the relative frequency of each:

```
sentiment.orientation <- data.frame(orientation = c(rep("Positive",5),rep("Negative",
        5)),
                                sentiment = c("anticipation","joy","positive","trust"
         ,"surprise","anger","disgust","fear","negative","sadness"))
tidy.speech %>%
  count(speaker,word) %>%
  inner_join(get_sentiments("nrc"), by=c("word")) %>%
  group by(speaker,sentiment) %>%
  summarize(total=sum(n)) %>%
  inner join(total.terms.speaker) %>%
  mutate(relative.sentiment=total/n) %>%
  inner join(sentiment.orientation) %>%
  gqplot(aes(x=sentiment,y=relative.sentiment,fill=speaker)) + geom bar(stat='identit
        y',position='dodge') +
  facet wrap(~orientation,scales='free')+
  ylab('Relative Sentiment')+
  labs(title='Sentiment of Convention Acceptance Speeches',
       subtitle='Hillary Clinton vs. Donald Trump')+
  scale y continuous(labels=percent)
```



Case Study: Sentiments in Las Vegas

Let's return to the Las Vegas resort reviews and study how average sentiment vary across resorts. We start by loading the review data, cleaning it up and convert to tidy text:

```
reviews <- read_rds('data/reviewsTripAll.rds')
meta.data <- reviews %>%
  select(hotel,reviewID,reviewRating)
reviewsTidy <- reviews %>%
  unnest_tokens(word,reviewText) %>%
  count(reviewID,word)
```

Suppose we were interested in the negative and positive sentiment for each resort and the difference between the two, i.e., the net positive sentiment. To do this, first calculate the total number of terms used for each resort:

```
term.hotel <- reviewsTidy %>%
    inner_join(meta.data,by='reviewID') %>%
    group_by(hotel) %>%
    summarize(n.hotel=sum(n))
```

Next we join in the sentiment lexicon, summarize total sentiment for each hotel, normalize by total term count by hotel and finally join in the hotel name and visualize the result:

4/20/2021

Sentiment Analysis



This is a nice visualization but to see the actual net positive sentiment differences across hotels, this one is probably better:



Finally, we can try to look at a wider range of sentiments:

```
nrc <- get_sentiments("nrc")
hotel.sentiment <- reviewsTidy %>%
inner_join(nrc,by=c("word")) %>%
left_join(meta.data,,by='reviewID') %>%
group_by(hotel,sentiment) %>%
summarize(total=sum(n)) %>%
inner_join(term.hotel,by='hotel') %>%
mutate(relative.sentiment = total/n.hotel)
hotel.sentiment %>%
ggplot(aes(x=sentiment,y=relative.sentiment,fill=sentiment)) + geom_bar(stat='ident
ity') +
facet_wrap(~hotel,ncol=3)+ theme(axis.text.x = element_text(angle = 45, hjust = 1))
+
theme(legend.position="none")
```



Exercise

If sentiment analysis is worth anything, then positive vs. negative sentiment of a review should be able to predict the star rating. In this exercise you will investigate if this is true.

Using the reviews.tidy and meta.data from above follow the following steps:

- 1. Join the sentiments from the "afinn" lexicon with the reviewsTidy data frame.
- 2. Look at the resulting data frame and make sure you understand the result
- 3. Then for each document calculate the total sentiment score (remember that in the afinn lexicon words are given a score from -5 to 5 where higher is more positive)
- 4. Join the meta.data to the result in 3.

Using your resulting data frame in 4., what can you say about the relationship between sentiments and star rating?

Copyright © 2020 Karsten T. Hansen, All rights reserved.